

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,569	07/24/2003	Vishal Bansal	SS3295USNA	3399	
23906	7590 06/30/	05	EXAM	EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			EDWARDS,	EDWARDS, NEWTON O	
LEGAL PA	TENT RECORDS C	NTER			
BARLEY M	IILL PLAZA 25/112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1774	1774	
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAIL ED: 06/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,569	BANSAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	N Edwards	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-22 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		?			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)			•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/627,569

Art Unit: 1774

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-7, drawn to a non-woven web, classified in class 442, subclass
 364.

Claims 8-22, drawn to a multi-layer composite sheet, classified in class
 442, subclass 381.

If Group I is elected, Applicant is required to elect a single species for the acid copolymer.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a composite or a laminate and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Fred Strickland on 39,041 a provisional election was made June 13, 2004 traverse to prosecute the invention of without, claims 5-7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

In accordance with the election of species requirement on claim 1 acid copolymer, Fred Strickland elected ethylene methacrylic acid.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 "substantially free of acid copolymer" is vague and indefinite as to the meaning of the phrase.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,569 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kubo (EP 0,311,860).

Kubo teaches a non-woven fabric (includes spun bonded non-woven web) comprising a composite core sheath fiber in which the core component is polyester or polyamide. Kubo further teaches the sheath is formed of copolymer polyethylene consisting of ethylene and at least one member (blend) of an unsaturated carboxylic acid such as methacylic acid or acrylic acid (See page 2, page 3, and claims 1, 3 and 4 for example). Kubo still further teaches the sheath component is 20-80 percent and the core component is 80-20% by weight. Kubo also teaches copolymer component to ethylene is 0.1-5% by mole.

Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed Patents disclose the state of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary Examiner Edwards at telephone number (571) 272-1521.

N. Edwards/dh June 27, 2005

> N.EDWARDS PRIMARY EXAMINER